# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

						•	
			v.				
Enrique Sandoval Rodriguez					Case Number: CR-15-01501-21-PHX-SRB		
				Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 Act one or both, as app	2(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude the blicable.)	nat the	
$\boxtimes$		by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
$\boxtimes$		preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the dant pending trial in this case.					
				PART I FIN	DINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	offens	se that would hav d) that is:	ve been a federal off	ant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state of the ense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction of the U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
					sentence is life imprisonment or death.		
					mum term of imprisonment of ten years or mo	ore is	
		_		1			
					ne defendant had been convicted of two or more prior f 142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses		
			destructive devi		rictim or that involves the possession or use of a fired re defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weap 18 U.S.C. §2250.		
	(2)			(B): The offense do	escribed in finding 1 was committed while the defenda or local offense.	nt was	
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (dat conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				ate of	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.					
				Alternati	ve Findings		
$\boxtimes$	(1)	18 U.	S.C. 3142(e)(3):	There is probable of	cause to believe that the defendant has committed an of	fense:	
		$\boxtimes$	for which a ma	aximum term of in	aprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed Con-	trolled	
		Substa	ances Act (21 U.S	S.C. § 801 et seq.); <sup>1</sup>			

Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

			Case 2:15-cr-01501-SRB Document 132 Filed 12/15/15 Page 2 of 3				
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b. under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more prescribed.				
			an offense involving a minor victim under section <sup>2</sup>				
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.					
			Alternative Findings				
$\boxtimes$	(1)		There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
$\boxtimes$	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and t community.					
	(3)		e is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or in				
	(4)		idate a prospective witness or juror).				
$\boxtimes$	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:  The defendant does not have an available appropriate residence, he has substance abuse issues, prior probation violations, mental health issues, firearms were located in his residence, and he has past ties to street gangs. The Court finds that he poses a danger to the community.					
$\boxtimes$	(2)	I find	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
			The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bor reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
		$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
			There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
			The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and maximum of				
	The c	defenda	nt does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				
	In add	dition:					

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. }\S\$1201,\ 1591,2241-42,\ 2244(a)(1),\ 2245,\ 2251,\ 2251A,\ 2252(a)(1),\ 2252(a)(2),\ 2252(a)(3,\ 2252(a)(4),\ 2260,\ 2421,\ 2422,\ 2423,\ or\ 2425.$ 

The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

## Case 2:15-cr-01501-SRB Document 132 Filed 12/15/15 Page 3 of 3

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

**IT IS ORDERED** that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 14th day of December, 2015.

Bridget S. Bade United States Magistrate Judge